

GOVERNMENT
OF
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

+ + + + +

REGULAR PUBLIC MEETING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY

JUNE 22, 2022

+ + + + +

The Regular Public Meeting of the District of Columbia Board of Zoning Adjustment convened via Videoconference, pursuant to notice at 10:05 a.m. EST, Frederick L. Hill, Chairperson, presiding.

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT MEMBERS PRESENT:

FREDERICK L. HILL, Chairperson
CARL BLAKE, Board Member
CHRISHAUN SMITH, Board Member (NCPC)

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

PETER MAY, Commissioner
ROBERT MILLER, ZC Vice Chairperson

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

CLIFFORD MOY, Secretary
PAUL YOUNG, Zoning Data Specialist

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

STEPHEN MORDFIN
MATT JESICK
ELISA VITALE

D.C. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL PRESENT:

MARY NAGELHOUT, ESQ.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1716 14TH ST., N.W. STE 200
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009-4309

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

DISTRICT DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION STAFF PRESENT:

KARINA RICKS, Associate Director for Policy, Planning
and Sustainability
JEFF JENNINGS

The transcript constitutes the minutes from
the Regular Public Meeting held on June 22, 2022.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1716 14TH ST., N.W. STE 200
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009-4309

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

10:05 a.m.

CHAIR HILL: Mr. Moy, you may call our first and only decision case, I believe.

MR. MOY: Yes, sir. This would be Case Application No. 20538 of TG Management, LLC. For the record, this is an application for special exception under Subtitle U, Section 203.1(e) pursuant to Subtitle X, Section 901.2 to allow a community-based institutional facility.

The property is located in the R-2 Zone at 1614 Olive Street, NE (Square 5167, Lot 9). The only thing I have as a reminder is that the Board last heard this at its hearing on May 18th where it set this for a decision for today, June 27th.

CHAIR HILL: Okay. Thanks.

Okay. Give me one second, please. Okay. So I don't know what's going on. I don't know what you guys think. I've thought about this one long and hard and I don't know what to do. Like this is another time that we are going to -- I don't like this Zoom thing. I feel like I'm talking to myself. You all got to help me kind of figure this out. Right? I've read everything that came in and I am not terrible certain how to proceed. Right?

We've heard from the Applicant, we've heard from the community. You know, we have the regulations that we are

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1716 14TH ST., N.W. STE 200
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009-4309

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 supposed to look at right in front of us. We've heard from
2 the Office of Planning. I'm going to just run through my
3 list of confusing points and then see who wants to go next.
4 I guess I'll go in my normal circle which is Mr. Smith,
5 Commissioner Miller, Mr. Blake. Or if somebody wants to
6 raise their hand right away, they can go right after me.

7 They were supposed to meet and they didn't meet.
8 I see one letter from the Applicant saying that they tried
9 to meet and nobody showed up. I see another letter that says
10 the attorneys told their clients not to meet because they
11 weren't answering the questions. I think that the questions
12 don't necessarily pertain to the regulations or they are
13 questions that necessarily the Applicant needs to answer.

14 I didn't think that was particularly helpful. I
15 thought the people were going to get together and try to
16 figure out if this thing was going to happen, or could
17 possibly happen, how they were going to try to mitigate
18 issues that were going to make it palatable. So nothing
19 actually happened. I don't know why they didn't meet.
20 Right? Like I'm a little bit confused. Right? That was the
21 point. So I'm more -- so, anyway. So there is that.

22 Then there is the conditions that the Applicant
23 put forward that I think are relatively good. I don't know
24 whether it completely works out to mitigate all the issues
25 that the community might have had because the community

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1716 14TH ST., N.W. STE 200
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009-4309

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 didn't talk about or speak to any of the conditions. Then
2 there was even mediation and then the ANC didn't -- there are
3 some things here that like they weren't invited or people --
4 I mean, I just don't understand how people are not invited
5 to things. Right?

6 You all can tell me now. I'm kind of on the side
7 of -- and then the thing that was really making me
8 uncomfortable like I thought that the Applicant didn't do a
9 good job of dealing with the community right away, whether
10 it's up front or whatever it was, it was just a complete
11 mess. Right?

12 I know those ANCs. I know the different
13 commissioners. Everybody was really passionate about it one
14 way or the other. It didn't sound like there was a lot --
15 it just wasn't handled well at the beginning. It's not to
16 say they would not have necessarily ended up at this exact
17 same point, but at least I would have felt better about how
18 they got to this point. Right?

19 So now -- oh, yeah. And then there's the term
20 limit. Right? Which I was already kind of in favor of a
21 term limit to begin with because this seemed to
22 controversial. Then whether it's a five-year term limit,
23 whether it's a three-year term limit, you know, I don't know
24 what necessarily might -- I don't even know what you all are
25 going to say so I don't know what you guys think about the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1716 14TH ST., N.W. STE 200
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009-4309

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 term limit also as well.

2 And then there's the whole -- you know, the bigger
3 macro thing about where a lot of these facilities are going,
4 and I'm kind of going to speak that there was an argument
5 made that facilities that are there to help people re-
6 integrate, you know, being in different sections of the city
7 other than just the ones that they tend to be put into was
8 an argument, but this is already there.

9 This is already built. I thought it was built
10 quite nicely, I thought also, I must say, but I'm not an
11 expert on this. There was an expert there to discuss how
12 they plan on using the facility. I thought the person that
13 was using the facility, I thought they gave good testimony
14 of how they were going to manage the facility.

15 Then last week -- oh, there was the point that
16 people that were coming back to, again, integrate into the
17 community, this is the community that they were coming back
18 to and the support system was there so that was another
19 argument, but I don't know. They're not specific enough so
20 how do I know if people are coming back to the community that
21 they were from? There's a lot there that I'm just saying and
22 then you all can tell me what you all thought. I had to
23 think about this a long time.

24 My current position would be to just say, you
25 know, kick it back to them to really meet again and if they

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1716 14TH ST., N.W. STE 200
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009-4309

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 don't meet again, then that's it, and then we just decide.
2 Right? Give them one more chance to get together and, if
3 they don't, then it's on -- that means the community didn't
4 even try and didn't even go to talk to them. Then we'll just
5 see what happens. That's my long speech.

6 Oh, Mr. Blake would like to go first. Please.

7 MEMBER BLAKE: I would because my thoughts are
8 fairly straight forward. I'm very anxious if you'll help me
9 to evolve. First of all, I agree with you that we should
10 probably give them the opportunity to meet. I'm a bit
11 confused by all that has and has not transpired. I hope that
12 the Applicant would have been able to work with the parties
13 in opposition to come up with something.

14 Maybe I was only optimistic to come up with
15 something. They should have been able to without -- they
16 should have been able to come up with something. At least
17 initiate a dialogue. I was also very disappointed to hear
18 that the homeowner's council advised them not to participate
19 in any discussions which seemed to be totally contrary to our
20 request. I don't recall us saying we should have a mediator
21 come in and do all that kind of stuff. Really it was just
22 to start a dialogue.

23 I was also disappointed not to have received the
24 comments back on the proposed conditions but on other issues
25 that -- other parts of it, but they didn't even address so

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1716 14TH ST., N.W. STE 200
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009-4309

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 we still have no sense of where the community is relative to
2 proposed conditions which is what we wanted to see if there
3 was something we could structure there.

4 That being said, I believe the conditions that
5 were presented by the Applicant was a good starting point.
6 They talked about Code of Conduct, community outreach,
7 facilities improvement to address some of the concerns about
8 privacy and appearance. Also, I don't think they were
9 specific enough. I mean, for example, in condition one we
10 talked about the community concerns and to provide
11 suggestions on how to address those needs and concerns.

12 Well, we haven't really identified them. Without
13 the participation of the community, it's very difficult to
14 do that. They also didn't talk about exactly the actual
15 steps that would be taken to address those issues. The
16 community wants you to stay out of the street. Okay. So do
17 we not cross the street? You have to come up with specific
18 actions to address that. I think overall the Code of Conduct
19 issue was very good. I believe also they talked about
20 procedures like sign in, sign out, quiet times.

21 We also had some issues, though, we talked about
22 and there is an element in here about the hours of curfew and
23 that could be included in there. We had also talked about
24 a visitation policy, a whole policy. There are a whole lot
25 of things that are actually in the business plan that would

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1716 14TH ST., N.W. STE 200
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009-4309

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 be part of the conditions which would help educate the
2 community about what's going on and would make them more
3 comfortable, I think, with how they are handling it.

4 There are also some issues that came up about
5 being in the street, drinking liquor, and so forth, sitting
6 in the cars. There could be a rule that says you can't do
7 that. There would also be some security cameras that could
8 be put in or lights to deal with the concerns that they have
9 in the alley about things that are taking place in the alley,
10 even today with the current situation. I think there is a
11 lot that can -- these are the right bones for a discussion
12 in those conditions but it needs to be taken a little
13 further. Like I said, including visitation policy, curfews,
14 and things like that.

15 I think the hotline at quarterly meetings, the
16 liaison, all are great. How can you have quarterly meetings
17 and we can't talk? So we've got to get past this point of
18 this inactivity and inability to talk. I think the
19 installation of blinds would provide a degree of privacy for
20 the residents. Frosting the windows would be more effective
21 in kind of meeting the needs of what they are trying to do
22 to provide two-way privacy.

23 There's a lot there. I think it's a good starting
24 point but the dialogue has to exist in order for this to
25 happen. I think not addressing it by either party does not

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1716 14TH ST., N.W. STE 200
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009-4309

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 allow us to resolve it in a fair way. We need some input
2 from the community so, for that reason, I would give them one
3 more chance. That's all I have to say.

4 CHAIR HILL: Who wants to go next? Raise your
5 hand.

6 Sure, Mr. Smith.

7 MEMBER SMITH: I would agree with Mr. Blake who
8 said it all pretty much. I'm highly upset that the community
9 wasn't able to -- it was an open meeting. The ANC's could
10 have attended. There is nothing really that said they had
11 to go back to the ANC. They could have absented or request
12 they are leaving or the Applicant couldn't attend the ANC
13 meeting.

14 It seems to me everyone is talking past each other
15 and I fully understand the reason why this may have occurred,
16 because there was very little dialogue, it seems to me, based
17 on the record from the 18th when we first heard from the
18 parties in opposition. They should have talked to the
19 Applicant before they filed. The well may have been poisoned
20 by then.

21 I do believe the purpose of -- one of the reasons
22 why this hearing was to allow more of a fruitful discussion
23 amongst the Applicant, the ANC, and the neighbors. I mean
24 the totality of the project. Just as Mr. Blake stated, the
25 discussion about how this facility would operate should be

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1716 14TH ST., N.W. STE 200
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009-4309

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 detailed. That includes going through the business plan to
2 address some of the concerns of the neighbors. The neighbors
3 are very concerned about what may occur here, the
4 potentiality of what could occur. I think what we need to
5 understand is how we can attempt to mitigate some of the
6 impacts that we see and also ways by the community. I think
7 the Applicant must be doing a fairly decent set of conditions
8 that could advance, or help to mitigate some of this.

9 I applaud them for providing this set of
10 conditions. A lot of these conditions are exactly what we
11 asked at the last meeting for them to represent. I agree,
12 Mr. Blake, I think we can go -- I think the Applicant can go
13 a lot further with some of these conditions. Take a look at
14 their business plan, as Mr. Blake stated, and you'll find
15 some of these conditions.

16 Some of the conditions are beyond the purview of
17 this Board so we are continuing this case to allow the ANC
18 and Applicant to have additional dialogue. I would like to
19 see that one go away. There needs to be some additions. Mr.
20 Blake raised some questions about security cameras. He
21 raised some concerns of the neighborhood like lighting which
22 would be legal for the District regulations. I think another
23 reasonable condition would be the institution of quiet hours.
24 The installation of blinds.

25 Right now I think we're moving in the right

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1716 14TH ST., N.W. STE 200
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009-4309

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 direction with this particular case. I would like to hear
2 more from the Civic Association. It seems to me there may
3 not be a lot of room for a green area. But, again, it would
4 be great to hear from the ANC about the set of conditions.
5 They may still be opposed to it but can we hear from them,
6 their position on this set of conditions.

7 With that, I agree. I think we should give
8 another chance for the ANC and the Applicant to talk. Like
9 I said, I've been mostly in their corner because of the
10 concerns about crime and recidivism. Again, like I said
11 previously, many of these residents are from Ward 7 and Ward
12 8 and are District residents so they should have a right to
13 return. With that, I agree. I think we should probably
14 continue this and allow them additional time.

15 CHAIR HILL: Thank you, Mr. Blake.

16 Commissioner Miller.

17 ZONING COMMISSIONER MILLER: Thank you, Mr.
18 Chairman. Thank you to my fellow Board Members for your
19 comments which I agree with all of them. You know, we did
20 postpone this for over a month from May 18th so that there
21 would be this opportunity for dialogue to see if any
22 mitigation measures might alleviate potential adverse impacts
23 that have been identified.

24 So it's very disappointing that there wasn't
25 dialogue in this intervening period. I thought that was what

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1716 14TH ST., N.W. STE 200
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009-4309

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 we encouraged. We requested -- the Applicant did reach out
2 through a mediator, a third party mediator, who has
3 experience in that. Apparently either there was no response
4 or a negative response from the community. If we're going
5 to postpone this again, because this has been postponed more
6 than once. I think this has been around for almost -- well,
7 it's been around a long time. Almost a year, I think, in
8 terms of when it was filed.

9 This is an application for a community-based
10 institutional facility housing no more than 12 returning
11 women from incarceration, returning home. You know, this is
12 an important need city-wide and needs to be equitably
13 distributed throughout the city. Here is an application and
14 it's permitted in this particular residential zone by special
15 exception if it meets the criteria such as not another CBIF
16 within a thousand feet of this facility.

17 Office of Planning testified that there is not
18 another CBIF within 1,000 feet, although I think the
19 neighbors testified that there are other institutional, or
20 non-single-family household type residences that are in that
21 neighborhood, but that's not the criteria in the Zoning
22 Regulations.

23 So, yeah. I think that all the -- I think most
24 of the -- I think the conditions that the Office of Planning
25 originally recommended would be appropriate in terms of the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1716 14TH ST., N.W. STE 200
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009-4309

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 number of -- limiting the number of persons to 12, and
2 removal or reduction of the fence there. It looks like it
3 is -- the structure isn't compatible with the neighborhood.
4 It doesn't stand out, or stand apart. But with all the other
5 conditions, the Code of Conduct conditions that Mr. Blake and
6 others have referenced which are important to alleviate
7 potential adverse impacts.

8 The additional measures that Mr. Blake identified
9 might also be useful whether it's the frosting of the windows
10 or security cameras or behavior on -- unruly behavior,
11 drinking, substance abuse on the block. I'm willing to give
12 one more opportunity but not an endless opportunity, even
13 though we don't have endless, limitless time available.
14 We've already taken up a lot of time which is what I'm
15 frustrated with.

16 I really would just encourage the community ANC-
17 7(c), 7(d), Deanwood Civic Association, and the neighbors who
18 are represented, I believe, by counsel, to meet because if
19 you don't meet and agree on additional conditions that you
20 bring forward to us that might mitigate potential adverse
21 impact, we're going to make a decision one way or the other.
22 It may be without conditions that you could have gotten.
23 This is a need. The structure is there. This can't go on
24 forever.

25 It's unfortunate that in the beginning there was

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1716 14TH ST., N.W. STE 200
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009-4309

1 not the proper dialogue between the Applicant, who was
2 represented by different -- either not represented or not
3 represented by the counsel who is before us at the May
4 hearing. But there was an effort to try community outreach
5 with them, but the stress, I guess, is just so much that
6 there's just opposition and delay at this point.

7 So I will look forward to the next hearing where
8 hopefully we have a dialogue that will have occurred. I
9 encourage the Applicant to reach out one more time, maybe in
10 a different way or in multiple ways. I encourage the
11 community to please respond and meet and talk. This thing
12 is going to be there one way or the other and it may be there
13 in a way that is more palatable to the neighbors if they meet
14 and give us information which we need to make our decision.

15 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

16 CHAIR HILL: Thanks, Vice Chair Miller.

17 Yeah. I mean, just a couple things to add. If
18 you all want to raise your hands still and go around but,
19 again, I have thought about this for a long time. The four
20 or five questions that the parties in opposition seem to have
21 wanted answered that was in the letter that came from their
22 representative.

23 All those questions can be seen like questions
24 that were things that have already happened now. It's like,
25 you know, why did it get to this point, how come you did

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1716 14TH ST., N.W. STE 200
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009-4309

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 this, what happened, are you allowed to do this. All things
2 that seem to be things that have kind of passed that don't
3 have a lot of relevance as to whether or not this were to be
4 there, how could the community possibly live with it. Right.

5 I'll push back just a little bit to say that this
6 hasn't been approved, just to let the Applicant know. Right?
7 I mean, you know, I don't know if this is going to get
8 approved or not. Right? That's why we're still here as to
9 whether or not we think that this meets the regulations and
10 we feel comfortable that certain things can be mitigated.

11 Not to step into this six-person thing in a house
12 but I'm going to at least do a little bit of it, which is I
13 don't know exactly. This is a little bit beyond me now. We
14 talked a lot about that six people could be there.
15 Commissioner Miller, or whoever wants to step in on this one
16 can.

17 We talked a lot about that six people could be
18 there as a CBIS. Now, it's unclear to me as to whether or
19 not six people can be there as a CBIS. Six people can be
20 there, absolutely. If it turns into, you know, whatever, you
21 have to call DCRA or compliance -- I forget the word that I'm
22 searching for but a compliance issue. That is not in our
23 area so I don't know about all that stuff is what I'm trying
24 to clarify.

25 The last thing I'll mention, and I'll see if

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1716 14TH ST., N.W. STE 200
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009-4309

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 anybody as anything else to say, thankfully, you know, the
2 Board has done this quite a bit and we're the ones that get
3 to decide whether or not we think things need to be mitigated
4 in a way that we think we're comfortable with. For example,
5 the frosted windows, like that is something that I thought
6 about as soon as they were talking about the blinds.

7 I was like we know about frosted windows. We deal
8 with frosted windows. We can say you are going to frost the
9 windows. It is a condition. We don't have to get their
10 permission to tell them to frost the windows. Right? I'm
11 kind of throwing that out because we might not be happy with
12 some of the conditions that get put forward to us, but we can
13 then use all the past experience that we've had to determine
14 what we think.

15 That's my final little statement. My little
16 comment would be to throw it back on, I guess, decision on
17 the 27th because it's the last hearing day again is my thing.
18 Does anybody want to say anything before we do the
19 scheduling? I'm going to go around the horn one more time.

20 Mr. Smith.

21 MEMBER SMITH: I don't have anything.

22 CHAIR HILL: Commissioner Miller.

23 ZONING COMMISSIONER MILLER: No, I concur with
24 your comments, Mr. Chairman.

25 CHAIR HILL: Thank you.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1716 14TH ST., N.W. STE 200
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009-4309

1 Mr. Blake.

2 MEMBER BLAKE: Me as well.

3 CHAIR HILL: Okay. So, Mr. Moy, can we put this
4 on for a decision?

5 We're going to decide. Just whoever is listening,
6 we're deciding on the 27th so if you all don't give us
7 anything on the 27th, we're going to decide with what it is.

8 And I would like something, Mr. Moy, if you can
9 make sure, I do want something from the Applicant that says
10 that they tried to meet again. I don't want the Applicant
11 just to say, okay, forget. You know, we tried and now we're
12 not going to try again. I want to know we, the Board -- you
13 all can raise your hand if you don't.

14 We want to know if anything is going to happen
15 like the Applicant now can't sit back and not try anything.
16 Right? We want something, Mr. Moy. You can tell me what
17 we're looking for. Something in the record that says what
18 has happened between now and the time we're going to make a
19 decision on the 27th. Please, Mr. Moy.

20 MR. MOY: Yes, sir. I'll be sure we reach out to
21 the Applicant regarding your request on that note. The other
22 note I have, Mr. Chairman, is, as we all know, there are some
23 agencies that have a two-month recess. Not only in August
24 but July. Certainly if they do meet, and they have a
25 standing meeting the second week of each month, ANC-7(d) and

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1716 14TH ST., N.W. STE 200
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009-4309

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 7(c), then, of course, we can set this up for a decision on
2 July 27th. If not, and if they cannot set up a special public
3 meeting, the ANC, then we're looking at a date in September.

4 CHAIR HILL: I mean, whatever you all think. I'm
5 not really thrilled about throwing it out until September
6 because September and October are already jammed. It's not
7 like there's something new to this. The ANC did -- no
8 offense, they did have a chance to try to talk to them
9 already. Right? I don't know what you all think. I say we
10 just stick with the 27th and see what happens.

11 Mr. Smith?

12 MEMBER SMITH: I agree. I think we should.

13 CHAIR HILL: Mr. Blake?

14 MEMBER BLAKE: That's fine.

15 CHAIR HILL: Mr. Miller?

16 ZONING COMMISSIONER MILLER: I concur.

17 CHAIR HILL: Excellent. So now if anybody sees
18 me on the street, I can say you all did it too.

19 All right. The 27th then. Is that good, Mr. Moy?

20 MR. MOY: Yes, sir. Done.

21 CHAIR HILL: Okay. I don't know. I mean, I don't
22 know why it's been kind of -- do you all want to take a five-
23 minute break? Okay.

24 Oh, Mr. Miller, are you out?

25 ZONING COMMISSIONER MILLER: I'm gone. Enjoy your

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1716 14TH ST., N.W. STE 200
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009-4309

1 day.

2 CHAIR HILL: Okay. Thank you as well,
3 Commissioner.

4 Just a quick five minutes. Okay, you guys?
5 Thanks.

6 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the
7 record at 10:33 a.m.)

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

C E R T I F I C A T E

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Meeting

Before: DC BZA

Date: 06-22-22

Place: teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate complete record of the proceedings.



Court Reporter

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1716 14TH ST., N.W., STE. 200

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009-7831

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com